Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications SIGMA **7** (2011), 092, 20 pages

An Introduction to the q-Laguerre–Hahn Orthogonal q-Polynomials*

Abdallah GHRESSI, Lotfi KHÉRIJI and Mohamed Ihsen TOUNSI

Institut Supérieur des Sciences Appliquées et de Technologies de Gabès,
Rue Omar Ibn El-Khattab 6072 Gabès, Tunisia
E-mail: *Abdallah.Ghrissi@fsg.rnu.tn, kheriji@yahoo.fr, MohamedIhssen.Tounsi@issatgb.rnu.tn*

Received February 14, 2011, in final form September 26, 2011; Published online October 04, 2011
http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2011.092

arXiv:1110.0580v1 [math.CA] 4 Oct 2011

Abstract. Orthogonal q-polynomials associated with q-Laguerre–Hahn form will be studied as a generalization of the q-semiclassical forms via a suitable q-difference equation. The concept of class and a criterion to determinate it will be given. The q-Riccati equation satisfied by the corresponding formal Stieltjes series is obtained. Also, the structure relation is established. Some illustrative examples are highlighted.

Key words: orthogonal q-polynomials; q-Laguerre–Hahn form; q-difference operator; q-difference equation; q-Riccati equation

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C05; 33C45

1 Introduction and preliminary results

The concept of the usual Laguerre–Hahn polynomials were extensively studied by several authors [1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 15, 18]. They constitute a very remarkable family of orthogonal polynomials taking consideration of most of the monic orthogonal polynomials sequences (MOPS) found in literature. In particular, semiclassical orthogonal polynomials are Laguerre–Hahn MOPS [15, 20]. The Laguerre–Hahn set of form (linear functional) is invariant under the standard perturbations of forms [2, 9, 18, 20]. It is well known that a usual Laguerre–Hahn polynomial satisfies a fourth order differential equation with polynomials coefficients but the converse remains not proved until now [20]. Discrete Laguerre–Hahn polynomials were studied in [13]. These families are already extensions of discrete semiclassical polynomials [19]. In literature, analysis and characterization of the q-Laguerre–Hahn orthogonal q-polynomials have not been yet presented in a unified way. However, several authors have studied the fourth order q-difference equation related to some examples of q-Laguerre–Hahn orthogonal q-polynomials such as the co-recursive and the rth associated of q-classical polynomials [11, 12]. More generally, the fourth order difference equation of Laguerre–Hahn orthogonal on special non-uniform lattices polynomials was established in [4]. For other relevant works in the domain of orthogonal q-polynomials and q-difference equation theory see [3, 21] and [5].

So the aim of this contribution is to establish a basic theory of q-Laguerre–Hahn orthogonal q-polynomials. We give some characterization theorems for this case such as the structure relation and the q-Riccati equation. We extend the concept of the class of the usual Laguerre–Hahn forms to the q-Laguerre–Hahn case. Moreover, we show that some standard transformation and perturbation carried out on the q-Laguerre–Hahn forms lead to new q-Laguerre–Hahn forms; the class of the resulting forms is analyzed and some examples are treated.

---

*This paper is a contribution to the Proceedings of the Conference “Symmetries and Integrability of Difference Equations (SIDE-9)” (June 14–18, 2010, Varna, Bulgaria). The full collection is available at http://www.emis.de/journals/SIGMA/SIDE-9.html

We denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the vector space of the polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ and by $\mathcal{P}'$ its dual space whose elements are forms. The action of $u \in \mathcal{P}'$ on $f \in \mathcal{P}$ is denoted as $\langle u, f\rangle$. In particular, we denote by $(u)_n := \langle u, x^n\rangle$, $n \geq 0$ the moments of u. A linear operator $T : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$ has a transpose ${}^tT : \mathcal{P}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}'$ defined by

$$\langle {}^tTu, f\rangle = \langle u, Tf\rangle, \qquad u \in \mathcal{P}', \qquad f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

For instance, for any form u, any polynomial g and any $(a, c) \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{C}$, we let $H_q u$, gu, $h_a u$, Du, $(x - c)^{-1}u$ and δ_c, be the forms defined as usually [20] and [16] for the results related to the operator H_q

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle H_q u, f\rangle := -\langle u, H_q f\rangle, \qquad \langle gu, f\rangle := \langle u, gf\rangle, \qquad \langle h_a u, f\rangle := \langle u, h_a f\rangle, \\ &\langle Du, f\rangle := -\langle u, f'\rangle, \qquad \langle (x - c)^{-1}u, f\rangle := \langle u, \theta_c f\rangle, \qquad \langle \delta_c, f\rangle := f(c), \end{aligned}$$

where for all $f \in \mathcal{P}$ and $q \in \widetilde{\mathbb{C}} := \big\{z \in \mathbb{C},\ z \neq 0,\ z^n \neq 1,\ n \geq 1\big\}$ [16]

$$(H_q f)(x) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q - 1)x}, \qquad (h_a f)(x) = f(ax), \qquad (\theta_c f)(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c}.$$

In particular, this yields to

$$(H_q u)_n = -[n]_q (u)_{n-1}, \qquad n \geq 0,$$

where $(u)_{-1} = 0$ and $[n]_q := \frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$, $n \geq 0$ [15]. It is obvious that when $q \to 1$, we meet again the derivative D.

For $f \in \mathcal{P}$ and $u \in \mathcal{P}'$, the product uf is the polynomial [20]

$$(uf)(x) := \langle u, \frac{xf(x) - \zeta f(\zeta)}{x - \zeta}\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{n} (u)_{j-i} f_j \right) x^i,$$

where $f(x) = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{n} f_i x^i$. This allows us to define the Cauchy's product of two forms:

$$\langle uv, f\rangle := \langle u, vf\rangle, \qquad f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

The product defined as before is commutative [20]. Particularly, the inverse u^{-1} of u if there exists is defined by $uu^{-1} = \delta_0$.

The Stieltjes formal series of $u \in \mathcal{P}'$ is defined by

$$S(u)(z) := -\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(u)_n}{z^{n+1}}.$$

A form u is said to be regular whenever there is a sequence of monic polynomials $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, $\deg P_n = n$, $n \geq 0$ such that $\langle u, P_n P_m\rangle = r_n \delta_{n,m}$ with $r_n \neq 0$ for any $n, m \geq 0$. In this case, $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is called a monic orthogonal polynomials sequence MOPS and it is characterized by the following three-term recurrence relation (Favard's theorem)

$$\begin{aligned} &P_0(x) = 1, \qquad P_1(x) = x - \beta_0, \\ &P_{n+2}(x) = (x - \beta_{n+1})P_{n+1}(x) - \gamma_{n+1}P_n(x), \qquad n \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\beta_n = \frac{\langle u, xP_n^2\rangle}{r_n} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\gamma_{n+1} = \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $n \geq 0$.

The shifted MOPS $\{\widehat{P}_n := a^{-n}(h_a P_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is then orthogonal with respect to $\widehat{u} = h_{a^{-1}}u$ and satisfies (1.1) with [20]

$$\widehat{\beta}_n = \frac{\beta_n}{a}, \qquad \widehat{\gamma}_{n+1} = \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{a^2}, \qquad n \geq 0.$$

Moreover, the form u is said to be normalized if $(u)_0 = 1$. In this paper, we suppose that any form will be normalized.

The form u is said to be positive definite if and only if $\beta_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma_{n+1} > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. When u is regular, $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a symmetrical MOPS if and only if $\beta_n = 0$, $n \geq 0$ or equivalently $(u)_{2n+1} = 0$, $n \geq 0$.

Given a regular form u and the corresponding MOPS $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, we define the associated sequence of the first kind $\{P_n^{(1)}\}_{n\geq 0}$ of $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ by [20, equations (2.8) and (2.9)]

$$P_n{}^{(1)}(x) = \left\langle u, \frac{P_{n+1}(x) - P_{n+1}(\xi)}{x-\xi} \right\rangle = (u\theta_0 P_{n+1})(x), \qquad n \geq 0.$$

The following well known results (see [16, 17, 20]) will be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 1. *Let $u \in \mathcal{P}'$. u is regular if and only if $\Delta_n(u) \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$ where*

$$\Delta_n(u) := \det\left((u)_{\mu+\nu}\right)_{\mu,\nu=0}^{n}, \qquad n \geq 0$$

are the Hankel determinants.

Lemma 2. *For $f, g \in \mathcal{P}$, $u, v \in \mathcal{P}'$, $(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}\times\mathbb{C}^2$, and $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$(x-c)\big((x-c)^{-1}u\big) = u, \qquad (x-c)^{-1}((x-c)u) = u - (u)_0\delta_c, \tag{1.2}$$

$$(u\theta_0 f)(x) = a_n x^{n-1}(u)_0 + \textit{lower order terms}, \qquad f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k x^k, \tag{1.3}$$

$$u\theta_0(fg) = g(u\theta_0 f) + (fu)\theta_0 g, \tag{1.4}$$

$$u\theta_0(fP_{k+1}) = fP_k^{(1)}, \qquad k+1 \geq \deg f, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\theta_b - \theta_c = (b-c)\theta_b \circ \theta_c, \qquad \theta_b \circ \theta_c = \theta_c \circ \theta_b, \tag{1.6}$$

$$h_a(gu) = (h_{a^{-1}}g)(h_a u), \qquad h_a(uv) = (h_a u)(h_a v), \qquad h_a\big(x^{-1}u\big) = ax^{-1}h_a u, \tag{1.7}$$

$$h_{q^{-1}} \circ H_q = H_{q^{-1}}, \qquad H_q \circ h_{q^{-1}} = q^{-1}H_{q^{-1}}, \qquad \textit{in} \quad \mathcal{P}, \tag{1.8}$$

$$h_{q^{-1}} \circ H_q = q^{-1}H_{q^{-1}}, \qquad H_q \circ h_{q^{-1}} = H_{q^{-1}}, \qquad \textit{in} \quad \mathcal{P}', \tag{1.9}$$

$$H_q(fg)(x) = (h_q f)(x)(H_q g)(x) + g(x)(H_q f)(x), \tag{1.10}$$

$$H_q(gu) = (h_{q^{-1}}g)H_q u + q^{-1}(H_{q^{-1}}g)u, \tag{1.11}$$

$$H_{q^{-1}}(u\theta_0 f)(x) = q(H_q u)\theta_0(h_{q^{-1}}f)(x) + (u\theta_0 H_{q^{-1}}f)(x), \tag{1.12}$$

$$S(fu)(z) = f(z)S(u)(z) + (u\theta_0 f)(z), \tag{1.13}$$

$$S(uv)(z) = -zS(u)(z)S(v)(z), \tag{1.14}$$

$$S(x^{-n}u)(z) = z^{-n}S(u)(z), \qquad S(u^{-1})(z) = z^{-2}(S(u)(z))^{-1}, \tag{1.15}$$

$$S(H_q u)(z) = q^{-1}(H_{q^{-1}}(S(u)))(z), \qquad (h_{q^{-1}}S(u))(z) = qS(h_q u)(z). \tag{1.16}$$

Definition 1. A form u is called q-Laguerre–Hahn when it is regular and satisfies the q-difference equation

$$H_q(\Phi u) + \Psi u + B\big(x^{-1}u(h_q u)\big) = 0, \tag{1.17}$$

where Φ, Ψ, B are polynomials, with Φ monic. The corresponding orthogonal sequence $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is called q-Laguerre–Hahn MOPS.

Remark 1. When $B = 0$ and the form u is regular then u is q-semiclassical [17]. When u is regular and not q-semiclassical then u is called a strict q-Laguerre–Hahn form.

Lemma 3. *Let u be a regular form. If u is a strict q-Laguerre–Hahn form satisfying* (1.17) *and there exist two polynomials Δ and Ω such that*

$$\Delta u + \Omega\big(x^{-1}u(h_q u)\big) = 0 \tag{1.18}$$

then $\Delta = \Omega = 0$.

Proof. The operation $\Delta \times (1.17) - B \times (1.18)$ gives

$$\Omega H_q(\Phi u) + (\Omega\Psi - \Delta B)u = 0.$$

According to (1.9) and (1.11), the above equation becomes

$$H_q((h_q\Omega)\Phi u) + (\Omega\Psi - (H_q\Omega)\Phi - \Delta B)u = 0.$$

Then $\Delta = \Omega = 0$ because the form u is regular and not q-semiclassical. ■

Lemma 4. *Consider the sequence $\{\widehat{P}_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ obtained by shifting P_n, i.e. $\widehat{P}_n(x) = a^{-n}P_n(ax)$, $n \geq 0$, $a \neq 0$. When u satisfies* (1.17)*, then $\widehat{u} = h_{a^{-1}}u$ fulfills the q-difference equation*

$$H_q(\widehat{\Phi}\widehat{u}) + \widehat{\Psi}\widehat{u} + \widehat{B}\big(x^{-1}\widehat{u}(h_q\widehat{u})\big) = 0,$$

where $\widehat{\Phi}(x) = a^{-\deg\Phi}\Phi(ax)$, $\widehat{\Psi}(x) = a^{1-\deg\Phi}\Psi(ax)$, $\widehat{B}(x) = a^{-\deg\Phi}B(ax)$.

Proof. With $u = h_a\widehat{u}$, we have $\Psi u = \Psi(h_a\widehat{u}) = h_a\big((h_a\Psi)\widehat{u}\big)$ from (1.7). Further,

$$H_q(\Phi u) = H_q\big(\Phi(h_a\widehat{u})\big) = H_q\big(h_a\big((h_a\Phi)\widehat{u}\big)\big) = a^{-1}h_a\big(H_q\big((h_a\Phi)\widehat{u}\big)\big)$$

from (1.7) and (1.9).

Moreover, by virtue of (1.7) an other time we get

$$B\big(x^{-1}u(h_q u)\big) = B\big(x^{-1}(h_a\widehat{u})(h_{aq}\widehat{u})\big) = B\big(x^{-1}h_a\big(\widehat{u}h_q\widehat{u}\big)\big) = a^{-1}h_a\big((h_aB)\big(x^{-1}\widehat{u}(h_q\widehat{u})\big)\big).$$

Equation (1.17) becomes

$$h_a\big(H_q\big(\Phi(ax)\widehat{u}\big) + a\Psi(ax)\widehat{u} + B(ax)\big(x^{-1}\widehat{u}(h_q\widehat{u})\big)\big) = 0.$$

Hence the desired result. ■

2 Class of a q-Laguerre–Hahn form

It is obvious that a q-Laguerre–Hahn form satisfies an infinite number of q-difference equations type (1.17). Indeed, multiplying (1.17) by a polynomial χ and taking into account (1.7), (1.11) we obtain

$$H_q\big((h_q\chi)\Phi u\big) + \big\{\chi\Psi - \Phi(H_q\chi)\big\}u + (\chi B)\big(x^{-1}u(h_q u)\big) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Put $t = \deg\Phi$, $p = \deg\Psi$, $r = \deg B$ with $d = \max(t, r)$ and $s = \max(p-1, d-2)$. Thus, there exists $u \to \hbar(u) \subset \mathbb{N}\cup\{-1\}$ from the set of q-Laguerre–Hahn forms into the subsets of $\mathbb{N}\cup\{-1\}$.

Definition 2. The minimum element of $\hbar(u)$ will be called the class of u. When u is of class s, the sequence $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ orthogonal with respect to u is said to be of class s.

Proposition 1. *The number s is an integer positive or zero. In other words, if $p = 0$, then $d \geq 2$ or if $0 \leq d \leq 1$, then necessarily $p \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let us show that in case $s = -1$, the form u is not regular, which is a contradiction. Indeed, when $s = -1$, we have

$$\Phi(x) = c_1 x + c_0, \qquad \Psi(x) = a_0, \qquad B(x) = b_1 x + b_0$$

with $c_1 = 1$ or $c_1 = 0$ and $c_0 = 1$, and where $a_0 \neq 0$.

The condition $\langle H_q(\Phi u) + \Psi u + B\big(x^{-1}u(h_q u)\big), x^n\rangle = 0$, $0 \leq n \leq 4$ gives successively

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_0 + b_1 = 0,\\
&(qb_1 - c_1)(u)_1 + b_0 - c_0 = 0, && (2.2)\\
&\big(q^2 b_1 - (1+q)c_1\big)\big((u)_2 - (u)_1^2\big) = 0, && (2.3)\\
&\big(q^3 b_1 - \big(1+q+q^2\big)c_1\big)(u)_3 + \big\{\big(1+q^2\big)b_0 + q(1+q)b_1(u)_1 - \big(1+q+q^2\big)c_0\big\}(u)_2\\
&\qquad + qb_0(u)_1^2 = 0, && (2.4)\\
&\big(q^4 b_1 - (1+q)\big(1+q^2\big)c_1\big)(u)_4 + \big\{\big(1+q^3\big)b_0 + q\big(1+q^2\big)b_1(u)_1 - (1+q)\big(1+q^2\big)c_0\big\}(u)_3\\
&\qquad + q^2 b_1 (u)_2^2 + q(1+q)b_0(u)_1(u)_2 = 0. && (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $q^2 b_1 - (1+q)c_1 \neq 0$. From (2.3)

$$\Delta_1 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & (u)_1 \\ (u)_1 & (u)_2 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Contradiction.

Suppose $q^2 b_1 = (1+q)c_1 = 0$ implies $b_1 = 0 = c_1$ implies (2.2) $b_0 = c_0 = 1$. Thus (2.4) $(u)_2 - (u)_1^2 = 0$, hence $\Delta_1 = 0$. Contradiction.

Suppose $q^2 b_1 = (1+q)c_1 \neq 0$ with $c_1 = 1$. From (2.2) and (2.4), (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(u)_1 = q(c_0 - b_0),\\
&(u)_3 = q(c_0 - 2b_0)(u)_2 + q^3 b_0 (c_0 - b_0)^2, && (2.6)\\
&(u)_4 = (u)_2^2 + q^2 b_0^2 (u)_2 - q^4 b_0^2 (c_0 - b_0)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let us consider the Hankel determinant

$$\Delta_2 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & (u)_1 & (u)_2 \\ (u)_1 & (u)_2 & (u)_3 \\ (u)_2 & (u)_3 & (u)_4 \end{vmatrix}.$$

With (2.6), we get $\Delta_2 = 0$. Contradiction. ■

Proposition 2. *Let u be a strict q-Laguerre–Hahn form satisfying*

$$H_q(\Phi_1 u) + \Psi_1 u + B_1\big(x^{-1}u h_q u\big) = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$H_q(\Phi_2 u) + \Psi_2 u + B_2(x^{-1}u h_q u) = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

where Φ_1, Ψ_1, B_1, Φ_2, Ψ_2, B_2 are polynomials, Φ_1, Φ_2 monic and $\deg \Phi_i = t_i$, $\deg \Psi_i = p_i$, $\deg B_i = r_i$, $d_i = \max(t_i, r_i)$, $s_i = \max(p_i - 1, d_i - 2)$ for $i \in \{1,2\}$. Let $\Phi = \gcd(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$. Then, there exist two polynomials Ψ and B such that

$$H_q(\Phi u) + \Psi u + B\big(x^{-1}u h_q u\big) = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

with

$$s=\max(p-1,d-2)=s_1-t_1+t=s_2-t_2+t, \tag{2.10}$$

where $t=\deg\Phi$, $p=\deg\Psi$, $r=\deg B$ *and* $d=\max(t,r)$.

Proof. With $\Phi=\gcd(\Phi_1,\Phi_2)$, there exist two co-prime polynomials $\widetilde{\Phi}_1$, $\widetilde{\Phi}_2$ such that

$$\Phi_1=\Phi\widetilde{\Phi}_1, \qquad \Phi_2=\Phi\widetilde{\Phi}_2. \tag{2.11}$$

Taking into account (1.11) equations (2.7), (2.8) become for $i\in\{1,2\}$

$$\big(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_i\big)H_q(\Phi u)+\big\{\Psi_i+q^{-1}H_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_i\big\}u+B_i\big(x^{-1}uh_qu\big)=0. \tag{2.12}$$

The operation $(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_2)\times(2.12_{i=1})-(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_1)\times(2.12_{i=2})$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\{\big(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_2\big)\big(\Psi_1+q^{-1}\Phi\big(H_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_1\big)\big)-\big(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_1\big)\big(\Psi_2+q^{-1}\Phi\big(H_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_2\big)\big)\big\}u\\ &\quad+\big\{\big(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_2\big)B_1-\big(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_1\big)B_2\big\}\big(x^{-1}uh_qu\big)=0.\end{aligned}$$

From the fact that u is a strict q-Laguerre–Hahn form and by virtue of Lemma 3 we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_1\big)\big(\Psi_2+q^{-1}\Phi\big(H_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_2\big)\big)=\big(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_2\big)\big(\Psi_1+q^{-1}\Phi\big(H_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_1\big)\big),\\ &\big(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_1\big)B_2=\big(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_2\big)B_1.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exist two polynomials Ψ and B such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Psi_1+q^{-1}\Phi\big(H_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_1\big)=\big(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_1\big)\Psi, \qquad \Psi_2+q^{-1}\Phi\big(H_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_2\big)=\big(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_2\big)\Psi,\\ &B_1=(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_1)B, \qquad B_2=(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_2)B.\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Then, formulas (2.7), (2.8) become

$$\big(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_i\big)\big\{H_q(\Phi u)+\Psi u+B\big(x^{-1}uh_qu\big)\big\}=0, \qquad i\in\{1,2\}. \tag{2.14}$$

But the polynomials $h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_1$ and $h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_2$ are also co-prime. Using the Bezout identity, there exist two polynomials A_1 and A_2 such that

$$A_1\big(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_1\big)+A_2\big(h_{q^{-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}_2\big)=1.$$

Consequently, the operation $A_1\times(2.14_{i=1})+A_2\times(2.14_{i=2})$ leads to (2.9). With (2.11) and (2.13) it is easy to prove (2.10). ■

Proposition 3. *For any q-Laguerre–Hahn form u, the triplet* (Φ,Ψ,B) (Φ *monic*) *which realizes the minimum of* $\hbar(u)$ *is unique.*

Proof. If $s_1=s_2$ in (2.9), (2.10) and $s_1=s_2=s=\min\hbar(u)$, then $t_1=t=t_2$. Consequently, $\Phi_1=\Phi=\Phi_2$, $B_1=B=B_2$ and $\Psi_1=\Psi=\Psi_2$. ■

Then, it's necessary to give a criterion which allows us to simplify the class. For this, let us recall the following lemma:

Lemma 5. *Consider u a regular form, Φ, Ψ and B three polynomials, Φ monic. For any zero c of Φ, denoting*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(x) = (x-c)\Phi_c(x),\\
&q\Psi(x) + \Phi_c(x) = (x-cq)\Psi_{cq}(x) + r_{cq},\\
&qB(x) = (x-cq)B_{cq}(x) + b_{cq}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

The following statements are equivalent:

$$\begin{aligned}
&H_q(\Phi u) + \Psi u + B\big(x^{-1}uh_qu\big) = 0,\\
&H_q(\Phi_c u) + \Psi_{cq}u + B_{cq}\big(x^{-1}uh_qu\big) + r_{cq}(x-cq)^{-1}u + b_{cq}(x-cq)^{-1}\big(x^{-1}uh_qu\big)\\
&\qquad - \big\{\langle u, \Psi_{cq}\rangle + \langle x^{-1}uh_qu, B_{cq}\rangle\big\}\delta_{cq} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Proof. The proof is obtained straightforwardly by using the relations in (1.2) and in (2.1). ■

Proposition 4. *A regular form u q-Laguerre–Hahn satisfying* (1.17) *is of class s if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{c\in\mathcal{Z}_\Phi} \Big\{|q(h_q\Psi)(c) + (H_q\Phi)(c)| + |q(h_qB)(c)|\\
&\qquad + \big|\langle u, q(\theta_{cq}\Psi) + (\theta_{cq}\circ\theta_c\Phi) + q(h_qu(\theta_0\circ\theta_{cq}B))\rangle\big|\Big\} > 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_\Phi$ is the set of roots of Φ.

Proof. Let c be a root of Φ: $\Phi(x) = (x-c)\Phi_c(x)$. On account of (2.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&r_{cq} = q\Psi(cq) + \Phi_c(cq) = q(h_q\Psi)(c) + (H_q\Phi)(c), \qquad b_{cq} = qB(cq) = q(h_qB)(c),\\
&\Psi_{cq}(x) = q(\theta_{cq}\Psi)(x) + (\theta_{cq}\Phi_c)(x) = q(\theta_{cq}\Psi)(x) + (\theta_{cq}\circ\theta_c\Phi)(x),\\
&B_{cq}(x) = q(\theta_{cq}B)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle u, \Psi_{cq}\rangle + \langle x^{-1}uh_qu, B_{cq}\rangle &= \langle u, q(\theta_{cq}\Psi) + (\theta_{cq}\circ\theta_c\Phi)\rangle + \langle uh_qu, q\theta_0\circ\theta_{cq}B\rangle\\
&= \langle u, q(\theta_{cq}\Psi) + (\theta_{cq}\circ\theta_c\Phi)\rangle + \langle u, q(h_qu(\theta_0\circ\theta_{cq}B))\rangle\\
&= \langle u, q(\theta_{cq}\Psi) + (\theta_{cq}\circ\theta_c\Phi) + q(h_qu(\theta_0\circ\theta_{cq}B))\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The condition (2.17) is necessary. Let us suppose that c fulfils the conditions

$$r_{cq} = 0, \qquad b_{cq} = 0, \qquad \langle u, q(\theta_{cq}\Psi) + (\theta_{cq}\circ\theta_c\Phi) + q(h_qu(\theta_0\circ\theta_{cq}B))\rangle = 0.$$

Then on account of Lemma 5 (2.16) becomes

$$H_q(\Phi_c u) + \Psi_{cq}u + B_{cq}\big(x^{-1}uh_qu\big) = 0$$

with $s_c = \max(\max(\deg\Phi_c, \deg B_{cq}) - 2, \deg\Psi_c - 1) < s$, what contradicts with $s := \min\hbar(u)$.

The condition (2.17) is sufficient. Let us suppose u to be of class $\widetilde{s} < s$. There exist three polynomials $\widetilde{\Phi}$ (monic) $\deg\widetilde{\Phi} = \widetilde{t}$, $\widetilde{\Psi}$, $\deg\widetilde{\Phi} = \widetilde{p}$, $\widetilde{B}$, $\deg\widetilde{B} = \widetilde{r}$ such that

$$H_q(\widetilde{\Phi}u) + \widetilde{\Psi}u + \widetilde{B}(x^{-1}uh_qu) = 0$$

with $\widetilde{s} = \max(\widetilde{d}-2, \widetilde{p}-1)$ where $\widetilde{d} := \max(\widetilde{t}, \widetilde{r})$. By Proposition 2, it exists a polynomial χ such that

$$\Phi = \chi\,\widetilde{\Phi}, \qquad \Psi = (h_{q^{-1}}\chi)\widetilde{\Psi} - q^{-1}(H_{q^{-1}}\chi)\widetilde{\Phi}, \qquad B = (h_{q^{-1}}\chi)\widetilde{B}.$$

Since $\widetilde{s} < s$ hence $\deg \chi \geq 1$. Let c be a zero of $\chi : \chi(x) = (x-c)\chi_c(x)$. On account of (1.10) we have

$$q\Psi(x) + \Phi_c(x) = (x - cq)\big\{(h_{q^{-1}}\chi_c)(x)\widetilde{\Psi}(x) - q^{-1}(H_{q^{-1}}\chi_c)(x)\widetilde{\Phi}(x)\big\}.$$

Thus $r_{cq} = 0$ and $b_{cq} = 0$. Moreover, with (1.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle u, q(\theta_{cq}\Psi) + (\theta_{cq} \circ \theta_c \Phi) + q\big(h_q u(\theta_0 \circ \theta_{cq} B)\big)\big\rangle \\
&\quad = \big\langle u, (h_{q^{-1}}\chi_c)\widetilde{\Psi} - q^{-1}(H_{q^{-1}}\chi_c)\widetilde{\Phi} + (h_q u)\theta_0((h_{q^{-1}}\chi_c)\widetilde{B})\big\rangle \\
&\quad = \big\langle u, (h_{q^{-1}}\chi_c)\widetilde{\Psi} - (H_q \circ h_{q^{-1}}\chi_c)\widetilde{\Phi} + (h_q u)\theta_0((h_{q^{-1}}\chi_c)\widetilde{B})\big\rangle \\
&\quad = \langle \widetilde{\Psi} u, h_{q^{-1}}\chi_c\rangle + \langle H_q(\widetilde{\Phi} u), h_{q^{-1}}\chi_c\rangle + \langle \widetilde{B}\big(x^{-1}u h_q u\big), h_{q^{-1}}\chi_c\rangle \\
&\quad = \big\langle H_q(\widetilde{\Phi} u) + \widetilde{\Psi} u + \widetilde{B}\big(x^{-1}u h_q u\big), h_{q^{-1}}\chi_c\big\rangle = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This is contradictory with (2.17). Consequently, $\widetilde{s} = s$, $\widetilde{\Phi} = \Phi$, $\widetilde{\Psi} = \Psi$ and $\widetilde{B} = B$. ■

Remark 2. When $q \longrightarrow 1$ we recover again the criterion which allows us to simplify a usual Laguerre–Hahn form [6].

Remark 3. When $B = 0$ and $s = 0$, the form u is usually called q-classical [16]. When $B = 0$ and $s = 1$, the symmetrical q-semiclassical orthogonal q-polynomials of class one are exhaustively described in [14].

Proposition 5. *Let u be a symmetrical q-Laguerre–Hahn form of class s satisfying* (1.17). *The following statements hold*

(i) If s is odd, then the polynomials Φ and B are odd and Ψ is even.

(ii) If s is even, then the polynomials Φ and B are even and Ψ is odd.

Proof. Writing

$$\Phi(x) = \Phi^{\mathrm{e}}\big(x^2\big) + x\Phi^{\mathrm{o}}\big(x^2\big), \qquad \Psi(x) = \Psi^{\mathrm{e}}\big(x^2\big) + x\Psi^{\mathrm{o}}\big(x^2\big), \qquad B(x) = B^{\mathrm{e}}\big(x^2\big) + xB^{\mathrm{o}}\big(x^2\big),$$

then (1.17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&H_q\big(\Phi^{\mathrm{e}}\big(x^2\big)u\big) + x\Psi^{\mathrm{o}}\big(x^2\big)u + B^{\mathrm{e}}\big(x^2\big)\big(x^{-1}u h_q u\big) \\
&\quad + H_q\big(x\Phi^{\mathrm{o}}\big(x^2\big)u\big) + \Psi^{\mathrm{e}}\big(x^2\big)u + xB^{\mathrm{o}}\big(x^2\big)\big(x^{-1}u h_q u\big) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Denoting

$$\begin{aligned}
&w^{\mathrm{e}} = H_q\big(\Phi^{\mathrm{e}}\big(x^2\big)u\big) + x\Psi^{\mathrm{o}}\big(x^2\big)u + B^{\mathrm{e}}\big(x^2\big)\big(x^{-1}u h_q u\big), \\
&w^{\mathrm{o}} = H_q\big(x\Phi^{\mathrm{o}}\big(x^2\big)u\big) + \Psi^{\mathrm{e}}\big(x^2\big)u + xB^{\mathrm{o}}\big(x^2\big)\big(x^{-1}u h_q u\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Then,

$$w^{\mathrm{o}} + w^{\mathrm{e}} = 0. \tag{2.19}$$

From (2.19) we get

$$(w^{\mathrm{o}})_n = -(w^{\mathrm{e}})_n, \qquad n \geq 0. \tag{2.20}$$

From definitions in (2.18) and (2.20) we can write for $n \geq 0$

$$(w^{\mathrm{e}})_{2n} = \langle u, x^{2n+1}\Psi^{\mathrm{o}}\big(x^2\big) - [2n]_q x^{2n-1}\Phi^{\mathrm{e}}\big(x^2\big)\rangle + \langle u h_q u, x^{2n-1}B^{\mathrm{e}}\big(x^2\big)\rangle,$$

$$(w^{\mathrm{o}})_{2n+1} = \langle u, x^{2n+1}\Psi^{\mathrm{e}}\big(x^2\big) - [2n+1]_q x^{2n+1}\Phi^{\mathrm{o}}\big(x^2\big)\rangle + \langle uh_q u, x^{2n+1}B^{\mathrm{o}}\big(x^2\big)\rangle. \tag{2.21}$$

Now, with the fact that u is a symmetrical form then $uh_q u$ is also a symmetrical form. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}(uh_q u)_{2n+1} &= \sum_{k=0}^{2n+1}(h_q u)_k (u)_{2n+1-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n+1} q^k (u)_k (u)_{2n+1-k}\\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{2k}(u)_{2k}(u)_{2(n-k)+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{2k+1}(u)_{2k+1}(u)_{2(n-k)} = 0, \qquad n \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.21) gives

$$(w^{\mathrm{o}})_{2n+1} = 0 = (w^{\mathrm{e}})_{2n}, \qquad n \geq 0. \tag{2.22}$$

On account of (2.19) and (2.22) we deduce $w^{\mathrm{o}} = w^{\mathrm{e}} = 0$. Consequently u satisfies two q-difference equations

$$H_q\big(\Phi^{\mathrm{e}}\big(x^2\big)u\big) + x\Psi^{\mathrm{o}}\big(x^2\big)u + B^{\mathrm{e}}\big(x^2\big)\big(x^{-1}uh_q u\big) = 0, \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$H_q\big(x\Phi^{\mathrm{o}}\big(x^2\big)u\big) + \Psi^{\mathrm{e}}\big(x^2\big)u + xB^{\mathrm{o}}\big(x^2\big)\big(x^{-1}uh_q u\big) = 0. \tag{2.24}$$

(i) If $s = 2k+1$, with $s = \max(d-2, p-1)$ we get $d \leq 2k+3$, $p \leq 2k+2$ then $\deg(x\Psi^{\mathrm{o}}(x^2)) \leq 2k+1$, $\deg(\Phi^{\mathrm{e}}(x^2)) \leq 2k+2$ and $\deg(B^{\mathrm{e}}(x^2)) \leq 2k+2$. So, in accordance with (2.23), we obtain the contradiction $s = 2k+1 \leq 2k$. Necessary $\Phi^{\mathrm{e}} = B^{\mathrm{e}} = \Psi^{\mathrm{o}} = 0$.

(ii) If $s = 2k$, with $s = \max(d-2, p-1)$ we get $d \leq 2k+2$, $p \leq 2k+1$ then $\deg(\Psi^{\mathrm{e}}(x^2)) \leq 2k$, $\deg(x\Phi^{\mathrm{o}}(x^2)) \leq 2k+1$ and $\deg(xB^{\mathrm{o}}(x^2)) \leq 2k+1$. So, in accordance with (2.24), we obtain the contradiction $s = 2k \leq 2k-1$. Necessary $\Phi^{\mathrm{o}} = B^{\mathrm{o}} = \Psi^{\mathrm{e}} = 0$. Hence the desired result. ■

3 Different characterizations of q-Laguerre–Hahn forms

One of the most important characterizations of the q-Laguerre–Hahn forms is given in terms of a non homogeneous second order q-difference equation so called q-Riccati equation fulfilled by its formal Stieltjes series. See also [6, 8, 10, 15] for the usual case and [13] for the discrete one.

Proposition 6. *Let u be a regular form. The following statement are equivalents:*

(*a*) *u belongs to the q-Laguerre–Hahn class, satisfying* (1.17)*.*

(*b*) *The Stieljes formal series $S(u)$ satisfies the q-Riccati equation*

$$(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(z)H_{q^{-1}}(S(u))(z) = B(z)S(u)(z)(h_{q^{-1}}S(u))(z) + C(z)S(u)(z) + D(z), \tag{3.1}$$

where Φ and B are polynomials defined in (1.17) *and*

$$\begin{aligned}&C(z) = -(H_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(z) - q\Psi(z),\\ &D(z) = -\big\{H_{q^{-1}}(u\theta_0\Phi)(z) + q(u\theta_0\Psi)(z) + q(uh_q u)\big(\theta_0^2 B\big)(z)\big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. (*a*) ⇒ (*b*). Suppose that (*a*) is satisfied, then there exist three polynomials Φ (monic), Ψ and B such that $H_q(\Phi u)+\Psi u+B(x^{-1}uh_q u) = 0$. From (1.11) the above q-difference equation becomes

$$(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(H_q u) + \big\{\Psi + q^{-1}(H_{q^{-1}}\Phi)\big\}u + B\big(x^{-1}uh_q u\big) = 0.$$

From definition of $S(u)$ and the linearity of S we obtain

$$S\big((h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(H_q u)\big)(z)+S(\Psi u)(z)+q^{-1}S\big((H_{q^{-1}}\Phi)u\big)(z)+S\big(B(x^{-1}uh_qu)\big)(z)=0. \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
S(\Psi u)(z) &\overset{\text{by (1.13)}}{=} \Psi(z)S(u)(z)+(u\theta_0\Psi)(z),\\
q^{-1}S((H_{q^{-1}}\Phi)u)(z) &\overset{\text{by (1.13)}}{=} q^{-1}(H_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(z)S(u)(z)+q^{-1}(u\theta_0(H_{q^{-1}}\Phi))(z),\\
S\big((h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(H_qu)\big)(z) &\overset{\text{by (1.13)}}{=} (h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(z)S(H_qu)(z)+\big((H_qu)\theta_0(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)\big)(z)\\
&\overset{\text{by (1.16)}}{=} q^{-1}(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(z)H_{q^{-1}}(S(u))(z)+\big((H_qu)\theta_0(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)\big)(z),\\
S\big(B(x^{-1}uh_qu)\big)(z) &\overset{\text{by (1.13)}}{=} B(z)S\big(x^{-1}uh_qu)\big)(z)+\big((x^{-1}uh_qu)\theta_0B\big)(z)\\
&\overset{\text{by (1.15)}}{=} z^{-1}B(z)S\big(uh_qu)\big)(z)+\big((uh_qu)\theta_0^2B\big)(z)\\
&\overset{\text{by (1.14)}}{=} -B(z)S(u)(z)S(h_qu)(z)+\big((uh_qu)\theta_0^2B\big)(z)\\
&\overset{\text{by (1.16)}}{=} -q^{-1}B(z)S(u)(z)(h_{q^{-1}}S(u))(z)+\big((uh_qu)\theta_0^2B\big)(z),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(u\theta_0(H_{q^{-1}}\Phi))(z)+q\big((H_qu)\theta_0(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)\big)(z)\overset{\text{by (1.12)}}{=} H_{q^{-1}}(u\theta_0\Phi)(z).$$

(3.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(z)H_{q^{-1}}(S(u))(z) &= B(z)S(u)(z)(h_{q^{-1}}S(u))(z)-(H_{q^{-1}}\Phi+q\Psi)(z)S(u)(z)\\
&\quad -\big\{H_{q^{-1}}(u\theta_0\Phi)+qu\theta_0\Psi+q(uh_qu)\theta_0^2B\big\}(z).
\end{aligned}$$

The previous relation gives (3.1) with (3.2).

$(b)\Rightarrow(a)$. Let $u\in\mathcal{P}'$ regular with its formal Stieltjes series $S(u)$ satisfying (3.1). Likewise as in the previous implication, formula (3.1) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&S\big\{H_q(\Phi u)-q^{-1}(C+H_{q^{-1}}\Phi)u+B\big(x^{-1}uh_qu\big)\big\}\\
&\qquad = q^{-1}D-q^{-1}u\theta_0C+\big((uh_qu)\theta_0^2B\big)+((H_qu)\theta_0(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&S\big\{H_q(\Phi u)-q^{-1}(C+H_{q^{-1}}\Phi)u+B\big(x^{-1}uh_qu\big)\big\}=0,\\
&D(z)=(u\theta_0C)(z)-q\big((uh_qu)\big(\theta_0^2B\big)\big)(z)-q((H_qu)\theta_0(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi))(z).
\end{aligned}$$

According to (3.2) and (1.12) we deduce that

$$H_q(\Phi u)+\Psi u+B\big(x^{-1}uh_qu\big)=0,$$

with

$$\Psi=-q^{-1}(C+H_{q^{-1}}\Phi). \tag{3.4}$$

■

We are going to give the criterion which allows us to simplify the class of q-Laguerre–Hahn form in terms of the coefficients corresponding to the previous characterization.

Proposition 7. *A regular form u q-Laguerre–Hahn satisfying* (3.1) *is of class s if and only if*

$$\prod_{c\in Z_\Phi} \bigl\{|B(cq)| + |C(cq)| + |D(cq)|\bigr\} > 0, \tag{3.5}$$

where Z_Φ is the set of roots of Φ with

$$s = \max\bigl(\deg B - 2, \deg C - 1, \deg D\bigr). \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. By comparing (2.17) and (3.5), it is enough to prove the following equalities

$$|C(cq)| = \bigl|q(h_q\Psi)(c) + (H_q\Phi)(c)\bigr|,$$
$$|D(cq)| = \bigl|\bigl\langle u, q(\theta_{cq}\Psi) + (\theta_{cq}\circ\theta_c\Phi) + q\bigl(h_q u(\theta_0\circ\theta_{cq}B)\bigr)\bigr\rangle\bigr|.$$

Indeed, on account of (3.2), the definition of the polynomial uf, the definition of the product form uv and (1.8) we have

$$C(cq) = -(H_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(cq) - q\Psi(cq) = -(H_q\Phi)(c) - q(h_q\Psi)(c),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D(cq) &= -\bigl\{H_{q^{-1}}(u\theta_0\Phi)(cq) + q(u\theta_0\Psi)(cq) + q(uh_qu)({\theta_0}^2B)(cq)\bigr\} \\ &= -\bigl\{H_q(u\theta_0\Phi)(c) + \langle u, q\theta_{cq}\Psi\rangle + \langle uh_qu, q\theta_0\circ\theta_{cq}B\rangle\bigr\} \\ &= -\bigl\{H_q(u\theta_0\Phi)(c) + \bigl\langle u, q\theta_{cq}\Psi + q\bigl(h_qu(\theta_0\circ\theta_{cq}B)\bigr)\bigr\rangle\bigr\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$H_q(u\theta_0\Phi)(c) \overset{\text{by (1.6)}}{=} \frac{(u\theta_0\Phi)(cq) - (u\theta_0\Phi)(c)}{(q-1)c} = \Bigl\langle u, \frac{\theta_{cq}\Phi - \theta_c\Phi}{cq-c}\Bigr\rangle = \bigl\langle u, \theta_{cq}\circ\theta_c\Phi\bigr\rangle.$$

Thus (2.17) is equivalent to (3.5). To prove (3.6), according to the definition of the class we may write

$$s = \max\bigl(\deg B - 2, \deg\Phi - 2, \deg\Psi - 1\bigr). \tag{3.7}$$

- If $\deg\Psi \neq \max\bigl(\deg B - 1, \deg\Phi - 1\bigr)$, on account of (3.2) and (3.7) we get the following implications

$$\deg B \le \deg\Phi \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \deg C = s+1, \\ \deg D \le s \end{cases} \Rightarrow \max\bigl(\deg B - 2, \deg C - 1, \deg D\bigr) = s,$$
$$\deg B > \deg\Phi \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \deg C \le s+1, \\ \deg D = s \end{cases} \Rightarrow \max\bigl(\deg B - 2, \deg C - 1, \deg D\bigr) = s.$$

- If $\deg\Psi = \max\bigl(\deg B - 1, \deg\Phi - 1\bigr)$ and $\deg B > \deg\Phi$ then $s + 1 = \deg\Psi = \deg B - 1 > \deg\Phi - 1$. Consequently, $\max\bigl(\deg B - 2, \deg C - 1, \deg D\bigr) = s$.
- If $\deg\Psi = \max\bigl(\deg B - 1, \deg\Phi - 1\bigr)$ and $\deg B = \deg\Phi$ then $\deg\Psi = \deg B - 1 = \deg\Phi - 1$ which implies $\deg B - 2 = s$, $\deg C - 1 \le s$, $\deg D \le s$. Therefore $\max\bigl(\deg B - 2, \deg C - 1, \deg D\bigr) = s$.
- If $\deg\Psi = \max\bigl(\deg B - 1, \deg\Phi - 1\bigr)$ and $\deg B < \deg\Phi$ then $\deg\Psi = \deg\Phi - 1$ and $s = \deg\Psi - 1$. Writing $\Phi(x) = x^{p+1} + \text{lower order terms}, \Psi(x) = a_px^p + \cdots + a_0$, by virtue of (3.2) and (1.3), it is worth noting that $C(z) = -\bigl([p+1]_{q^{-1}} + qa_p\bigr)z^{p-1} + \text{lower order terms}$ and $D(z) = -\bigl([p]_{q^{-1}} + qa_p\bigr)z^{p-1} + \text{lower order terms}$ with $[p+1]_{q^{-1}} \neq [p]_{q^{-1}}$ assuming either $\deg C = s$ or $\deg D = s$. Thus, $\max\bigl(\deg B - 2, \deg C - 1, \deg D\bigr) = s$.

Hence the desired result (3.6). ■

An other important characterization of the q-Laguerre–Hahn forms is the structure relation. See also [6, 15] for the usual case and [13] for the discrete one.

Proposition 8. *Let u be a regular form and $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be its MOPS. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *u is a q-Laguerre–Hahn form satisfying* (1.17)*.*
- (ii) *There exist an integer $s \geq 0$, two polynomials Φ (monic), B with $t = \deg \Phi \leq s+2$, $r = \deg B \leq s+2$ and a sequence of complex numbers $\{\lambda_{n,\nu}\}_{n,\nu\geq 0}$ such that*

$$\Phi(x)(H_qP_{n+1})(x) - h_q(BP_n^{(1)})(x) = \sum_{\nu=n-s}^{n+d} \lambda_{n,\nu}P_\nu(x), \qquad n > s, \quad \lambda_{n,n-s} \neq 0, \tag{3.8}$$

where $d = \max(t, r)$ and $\{P_n^{(1)}\}_{n\geq 0}$ be the associated sequence of the first kind for the sequence $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Beginning with the expression $\Phi(x)(H_qP_{n+1})(x) - h_q\big(BP_n^{(1)}\big)(x)$ which is a polynomial of degree at most $n + d$. Then, there exists a sequence of complex numbers $\{\lambda_{n,\nu}\}_{n\geq 0,\, 0\leq \nu\leq n+d}$ such that

$$\Phi(x)(H_qP_{n+1})(x) - (h_qB)(x)\big(h_qP_n^{(1)}\big)(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n+d} \lambda_{n,\nu}P_\nu(x), \qquad n \geq 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.9) by P_m, $0 \leq m \leq n + d$ and applying u we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle u, \Phi P_m(H_qP_{n+1})\rangle - \langle h_qu, B(h_{q^{-1}}P_m)(u\theta_0P_{n+1})\rangle = \lambda_{n,m}\langle u, P_m^2\rangle,\\ &n \geq 0, \qquad 0 \leq m \leq n + d.\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

On the other hand, applying $H_q(\Phi u) + \Psi u + B(x^{-1}uh_qu) = 0$ to $P_{n+1}(h_{q^{-1}}P_m)$, on account of the definitions, (1.10) and (1.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \langle H_q(\Phi u) + \Psi u + B\big(x^{-1}uh_qu\big), P_{n+1}(h_{q^{-1}}P_m)\rangle\\ &= \big\langle u, \Psi P_{n+1}(h_{q^{-1}}P_m) - \Phi H_q\big(P_{n+1}(h_{q^{-1}}P_m)\big)\big\rangle + \big\langle h_qu, u\theta_0(BP_{n+1}(h_{q^{-1}}P_m))\big\rangle\\ &= \langle u, \big\{\Psi(h_{q^{-1}}P_m) - q^{-1}\Phi(H_{q^{-1}}P_m)\big\}P_{n+1} - \Phi P_m(H_qP_{n+1})\rangle\\ &\quad + \langle h_qu, u\theta_0(BP_{n+1}(h_{q^{-1}}P_m))\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $n \geq 0$, $0 \leq m \leq n + d$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u, \Phi P_m(H_qP_{n+1})\rangle = \big\langle u, &\big\{\Psi(h_{q^{-1}}P_m) - q^{-1}\Phi(H_{q^{-1}}P_m)\big\}P_{n+1}\big\rangle\\ &+ \langle h_qu, u\theta_0(BP_{n+1}(h_{q^{-1}}P_m))\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Using (3.10), (3.11) to eliminate $\langle u, \Phi P_m(H_qP_{n+1})\rangle$ we get for $n \geq 0$, $0 \leq m \leq n + d$

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\langle u, \big\{\Psi(h_{q^{-1}}P_m) - q^{-1}\Phi(H_{q^{-1}}P_m)\big\}P_{n+1}\big\rangle\\ &\quad + \big\langle h_qu, u\theta_0(BP_{n+1}(h_{q^{-1}}P_m)) - (h_{q^{-1}}P_m)B(u\theta_0P_{n+1})\big\rangle = \lambda_{n,m}\langle u, P_m^2\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Moreover, by virtue of (1.5) we have $B(u\theta_0P_{n+1}) = u\theta_0(BP_{n+1})$, $n > s$. Therefore, taking into account (1.4) and definitions, (3.12) yields for $n > s$, $0 \leq m \leq n + d$

$$\big\langle u, \big\{\Psi(h_{q^{-1}}P_m) - q^{-1}\Phi(H_{q^{-1}}P_m) + B((h_qu)\theta_0(h_{q^{-1}}P_m))\big\}P_{n+1}\big\rangle = \lambda_{n,m}\langle u, P_m^2\rangle$$

with

$$\deg\bigl\{\Psi(h_{q^{-1}}P_m) - q^{-1}\Phi(H_{q^{-1}}P_m) + B((h_q u)\theta_0(h_{q^{-1}}P_m))\bigr\} \le m+s+1.$$

Consequently, the orthogonality of $\{P_n\}_{n\ge0}$ with respect to u gives

$$\lambda_{n,m} = 0, \qquad 0 \le m \le n-s-1, \quad n \ge s+1, \qquad \lambda_{n,n-s} \ne 0.$$

Hence the desired result (3.8).

$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$. Let v be the form defined by

$$v := H_q(\Phi u) + B\bigl(x^{-1}uh_q u\bigr) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{s+1} a_i x^i\right) u$$

with $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \le i \le s+1$. From definitions and the hypothesis of (ii) we may write successively

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v, P_{n+1}\rangle &= \bigl\langle H_q(\Phi u) + B\bigl(x^{-1}uh_q u\bigr), P_{n+1}\bigr\rangle + \langle u, P_{n+1}\sum_{i=0}^{s+1} a_i x^i\rangle \\
&= -\bigl\langle u, \Phi(H_q P_{n+1}) - (h_q u)\theta_0(BP_{n+1})\bigr\rangle + \langle u, P_{n+1}\sum_{i=0}^{s+1} a_i x^i\rangle \\
&= -\bigl\langle u, \sum_{\nu=n-s}^{n+d} \lambda_{n,\nu} P_\nu\bigr\rangle + \langle u, P_{n+1}\sum_{i=0}^{s+1} a_i x^i\rangle \\
&= -\sum_{\nu=n-s}^{n+d} \lambda_{n,\nu}\langle u, P_\nu\rangle + \sum_{i=0}^{s+1} a_i\langle u, x^i P_{n+1}\rangle, \qquad n > s.
\end{aligned}$$

From assumption of orthogonality of $\{P_n\}_{n\ge0}$ with respect to u we get

$$\langle v, P_n\rangle = 0, \qquad n \ge s+2.$$

In order to get $\langle v, P_n\rangle = 0$, for any $n \ge 0$, we shall choose a_i with $i = 0, 1, \dots, s+1$, such that $\langle v, P_i\rangle = 0$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, s+1$. These coefficients a_i are determined in a unique way. Thus, we have deduced the existence of polynomial $\Psi(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{s+1} a_i x^i$ such that $\langle v, P_n\rangle = 0$, for any $n \ge 0$. This leads to $H_q(\Phi u) + \Psi u + B(x^{-1}uh_q u) = 0$ and the point (i) is then proved. ■

4 Applications

4.1 The co-recursive of a q-Laguerre–Hahn form

Let μ be a complex number, u a regular form and $\{P_n\}_{n\ge0}$ be its corresponding MOPS satisfying (1.1). We define the co-recursive $\bigl\{P_n^{[\mu]}\bigr\}_{n\ge0}$ of $\{P_n\}_{n\ge0}$ as the family of monic polynomials satisfying the following three-term recurrence relation [20, Definition 4.2]

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_0^{[\mu]}(x) = 1, \qquad P_1^{[\mu]}(x) = x - \beta_0 - \mu, \\
&P_{n+2}^{[\mu]}(x) = (x - \beta_{n+1})P_{n+1}^{[\mu]}(x) - \gamma_{n+1}P_n^{[\mu]}(x), \qquad n \ge 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Denoting by $u^{[\mu]}$ its corresponding regular form. It is well known that [20, equation (4.14)]

$$u^{[\mu]} = u\bigl(\delta - \mu x^{-1}u\bigr)^{-1}.$$

Proposition 9. *If u is a q-Laguerre–Hahn form of class s, then $u^{[\mu]}$ is a q-Laguerre–Hahn form of the same class s.*

Proof. The relation linking $S(u)$ and $S(u^{[\mu]})$ is [20, equation (4.15)] $S(u^{[\mu]}) = \frac{S(u)}{1+\mu S(u)}$ or equivalently

$$S(u) = \frac{S(u^{[\mu]})}{1-\mu S(u^{[\mu]})}. \tag{4.1}$$

From definitions and by virtue of (4.1) we have

$$h_{q^{-1}}S(u) = \frac{h_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]})}{1-\mu h_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]})}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(H_{q^{-1}}S(u))(z) &= \frac{\frac{(h_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]}))(z)}{1-\mu(h_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]}))(z)} - \frac{S(u^{[\mu]})(z)}{1-\mu S(u^{[\mu]})(z)}}{(q^{-1}-1)z}\\ &= \frac{(H_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]}))(z)}{\big(1-\mu(h_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]}))(z)\big)\big(1-\mu S(u^{[\mu]})(z)\big)}.\end{aligned}$$

Replacing the above results in (3.1) the q-Riccati equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)\frac{H_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]})}{\big(1-\mu h_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]})\big)\big(1-\mu S(u^{[\mu]})\big)}\\ &\qquad = B\frac{S(u^{[\mu]})}{1-\mu S(u^{[\mu]})}\frac{h_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]})}{1-\mu h_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]})} + C\frac{S(u^{[\mu]})}{1-\mu S(u^{[\mu]})} + D.\end{aligned}$$

Equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)H_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]}) = {}& BS(u^{[\mu]})h_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]}) + CS(u^{[\mu]})\big(1-\mu h_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]})\big)\\ &+ D\big(1-\mu h_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]})\big)\big(1-\mu S(u^{[\mu]})\big).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the q-Riccati equation satisfied by $S(u^{[\mu]})$

$$(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi^{[\mu]})H_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]}) = B^{[\mu]}S(u^{[\mu]})h_{q^{-1}}S(u^{[\mu]}) + C^{[\mu]}S(u^{[\mu]}) + D^{[\mu]}, \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&K\Phi^{[\mu]}(x) = \Phi(x) + \mu(1-q)x(h_qD)(x), && KB^{[\mu]}(x) = B(x) - \mu C(x) + \mu^2 D(x),\\ &KC^{[\mu]}(x) = C(x) - 2\mu D(x), && KD^{[\mu]}(x) = D(x),\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

the non zero constant K is chosen such that the polynomial $\Phi^{[\mu]}$ is monic. $u^{[\mu]}$ is then a q-Laguerre–Hahn form.

On account of (3.2), (3.4) and (4.3) we get

$$K\Psi^{[\mu]} = \Psi + \mu\big(q^{-1}D + h_qD\big). \tag{4.4}$$

As a consequence, the regular form $u^{[\mu]}$ fulfils the following q-difference equation

$$H_q\big(\Phi^{[\mu]}u^{[\mu]}\big) + \Psi^{[\mu]}u^{[\mu]} + B^{[\mu]}\big(x^{-1}u^{[\mu]}h_qu^{[\mu]}\big) = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

We suppose that the q-Riccati equation (3.1) of u is irreducible of class s. With respect to the class, we use the result (3.5) of Proposition 7 and get for every zero c of $\Phi^{[\mu]}$:

- If $D(cq) \neq 0$, then $D^{[\mu]}(cq) = K^{-1}D(cq) \neq 0$ and equation (4.2) is not reducible.
- We suppose that $D(cq) = 0$. From the fact that $\Phi^{[\mu]}(c) = 0$, the first relation in (4.3) leads to $\Phi(c) = 0$ and the third equality in (4.3) gives $C^{[\mu]}(cq) = K^{-1}C(cq)$.

If $C(cq) \neq 0$, then the equation (4.2) is still not reducible. If $C(cq) = 0 = D(cq)$, then $B^{[\mu]}(cq) = K^{-1}B(cq) \neq 0$ since u is of class s. We conclude that

$$\left|B^{[\mu]}(cq)\right| + \left|C^{[\mu]}(cq)\right| + \left|D^{[\mu]}(cq)\right| > 0.$$

Consequently, the class $s^{[\mu]}$ of $u^{[\mu]}$ is given by $s^{[\mu]} = \max\big(\deg B^{[\mu]} - 2, \deg C^{[\mu]} - 1, \deg D^{[\mu]}\big)$. Accordingly to the last equality in (4.3) and (3.6) we get $s^{[\mu]} = \max\big(\deg B^{[\mu]} - 2, \deg C^{[\mu]} - 1, \deg D\big)$. A discussion on the degree leads to $s^{[\mu]} = s$. ■

Example 1. Let u be a q-classical form satisfying the q-analog of the distributional equation of Pearson type

$$H_q(\phi u) + \psi u = 0, \tag{4.6}$$

where ϕ is a monic polynomial of degree at most two and ψ a polynomial of degree one, the co-recursive $u^{[\mu]}$ of u is a q-Laguerre–Hahn form of class zero. $u^{[\mu]}$ and the Stieltjes function $S(u^{[\mu]})$ satisfy, respectively, the q-difference equation (4.5) and the q-Riccati equation (4.2) where on account of (4.3), (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
&K\Phi^{[\mu]}(x) = \frac{\phi''(0)}{2}x^2 + \left\{\phi'(0) + \mu(q-1)\left(\frac{\phi''(0)}{2} + q\psi'(0)\right)\right\}x + \phi(0),\\
&K\Psi^{[\mu]}(x) = \psi'(0)x + \psi(0) - \mu\big(q^{-1}+1\big)\left(\frac{\phi''(0)}{2} + q\psi'(0)\right),\\
&KB^{[\mu]}(x) = \mu\left\{\left(\big(q^{-1}+1\big)\frac{\phi''(0)}{2} + q\psi'(0)\right)x + \phi'(0) + q\psi(0) - \left(\frac{\phi''(0)}{2} + q\psi'(0)\right)\mu\right\},\\
&KC^{[\mu]}(x) = -\left(q\psi'(0) + (q^{-1}+1)\frac{\phi''(0)}{2}\right)x - \phi'(0) - q\psi(0) + 2\mu\left(\frac{\phi''(0)}{2} + q\psi'(0)\right),\\
&KD^{[\mu]}(x) = -\frac{\phi''(0)}{2} - q\psi'(0).
\end{aligned}$$

4.2 The associated of a q-Laguerre–Hahn form

Let u be a regular form and $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ its corresponding MOPS satisfying (1.1). The associated sequence of the first kind $\big\{P_n^{(1)}\big\}_{n\geq 0}$ of $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies the following three-term recurrence relation [20]

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_0^{(1)}(x) = 1, \qquad P_1^{(1)}(x) = x - \beta_1,\\
&P_{n+2}^{(1)}(x) = (x - \beta_{n+2})P_{n+1}^{(1)}(x) - \gamma_{n+2}P_n^{(1)}(x), \qquad n \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Denoting by $u^{(1)}$ its corresponding regular form.

Proposition 10. *If u is a q-Laguerre–Hahn form of class s, then $u^{(1)}$ is a q-Laguerre–Hahn form of the same class s.*

Proof. We assume that the formal Stieltjes function $S(u)$ of u satisfies (3.1). The relationship between $S(u^{(1)})$ and $S(u)$ is [20, equation (4.7)]

$$\gamma_1 S(u^{(1)})(z) = -\frac{1}{S(u)(z)} - (z - \beta_0).$$

Consequently,

$$S(u)(z) = -\frac{1}{\gamma_1 S(u^{(1)})(z) + (z-\beta_0)}. \tag{4.7}$$

From definitions and by virtue of (4.7) we have

$$h_{q^{-1}}(S(u))(z) = -\frac{1}{\gamma_1 h_{q^{-1}}(S(u^{(1)}))(z) + q^{-1}z - \beta_0}$$

and

$$H_{q^{-1}}(S(u))(z) = \frac{\gamma_1 H_{q^{-1}}(S(u^{(1)}))(z) + 1}{\big(\gamma_1 h_{q^{-1}}(S(u^{(1)}))(z) + q^{-1}z - \beta_0\big)\big(\gamma_1 S(u^{(1)})(z) + z - \beta_0\big)}.$$

Substituting in (3.1) the q-Riccati equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(z)&\frac{\gamma_1 H_{q^{-1}}(S(u^{(1)}))(z) + 1}{\big(\gamma_1 h_{q^{-1}}(S(u^{(1)}))(z) + q^{-1}z - \beta_0\big)\big(\gamma_1 S(u^{(1)})(z) + z - \beta_0\big)}\\ &= \frac{B(z)}{\big(\gamma_1 h_{q^{-1}}(S(u^{(1)}))(z) + q^{-1}z - \beta_0\big)\big(\gamma_1 S(u^{(1)})(z) + z - \beta_0\big)}\\ &\quad - \frac{C(z)}{\big(\gamma_1 S(u^{(1)})(z) + z - \beta_0\big)} + D(z).\end{aligned}$$

Equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}&\gamma_1\big\{(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(z) + \big(q^{-1}-1\big)z\big(C(z) - (z-\beta_0)D(z)\big)\big\}H_{q^{-1}}(S(u^{(1)}))(z)\\ &\quad = \gamma_1^2 D(z)S(u^{(1)})(z)h_{q^{-1}}(S(u^{(1)}))(z) + \gamma_1\big\{((q^{-1}+1)z - 2\beta_0)D(z) - C(z)\big\}S(u^{(1)})(z)\\ &\qquad + B(z) + \big(q^{-1}z-\beta_0\big)(z-\beta_0)D(z) - \big(q^{-1}z-\beta_0\big)C(z) - (h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(z).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the q-Riccati equation satisfied by $S(u^{(1)})$

$$\big(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi^{(1)}\big)H_{q^{-1}}S(u^{(1)}) = B^{(1)}S\big(u^{(1)}\big)h_{q^{-1}}S\big(u^{(1)}\big) + C^{(1)}S\big(u^{(1)}\big) + D^{(1)}, \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&K\Phi^{(1)}(x) = \Phi(x) + (q-1)x\{(qx-\beta_0)(h_qD)(x) - (h_qC)(x)\},\\ &KB^{(1)}(x) = \gamma_1 D(x), \qquad KC^{(1)}(x) = \gamma_1\big\{\big(\big(q^{-1}+1\big)x - 2\beta_0\big)D(x) - C(x)\big\},\\ &KD^{(1)}(x) = B(x) + (q^{-1}x-\beta_0)(x-\beta_0)D(x) - (q^{-1}x-\beta_0)C(x) - (h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(x).\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

$u^{(1)}$ is then a q-Laguerre–Hahn form.

Moreover, the regular form $u^{(1)}$ fulfils the q-difference equation

$$H_q\big(\Phi^{(1)}u^{(1)}\big) + \Psi^{(1)}u^{(1)} + B^{(1)}\big(x^{-1}u^{(1)}h_qu^{(1)}\big) = 0, \tag{4.10}$$

with

$$\Psi^{(1)} = -q^{-1}\big(C^{(1)} + H_{q^{-1}}\Phi^{(1)}\big). \tag{4.11}$$

Likewise, it is straightforward to prove that the class of $u^{(1)}$ is also s. ■

Example 2. If u is a q-classical form satisfying the q-analog of the distributional equation of Pearson type (4.6) then the associated $u^{(1)}$ of u is a q-Laguerre–Hahn form of class zero. $u^{(1)}$ and the formal Stieltjes function $S(u^{(1)})$ satisfy, respectively, the q-difference equation (4.10) and the q-Riccati equation (4.8) where on account of (4.9) and (4.11)

$$\begin{aligned}
&K\Phi^{(1)}(x)=q\frac{\phi''(0)}{2}x^2+\left\{q\phi'(0)+(q-1)\left(q\psi(0)+\beta_0\left(\frac{\phi''(0)}{2}+q\psi'(0)\right)\right)\right\}x+\phi(0),\\
&K\Psi^{(1)}(x)=-q^{-1}\Big\{(q+1)\frac{\phi''(0)}{2}-\psi'(0))x+(q+1)\phi'(0)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad +q^2\psi(0)+\left(q^2-q+2\right)\left(\frac{\phi''(0)}{2}+q\psi'(0)\right)\beta_0\Big\},\\
&KB^{(1)}(x)=-\gamma_1\left(\frac{\phi''(0)}{2}+q\psi'(0)\right),\\
&KC^{(1)}(x)=\gamma_1\left\{-\psi'(0)x+\beta_0(\phi''(0)+2q\psi'(0))+q\psi(0)+\phi'(0)\right\},\\
&KD^{(1)}(x)=\psi(\beta_0)x-\phi(\beta_0)-q\beta_0\psi(\beta_0).
\end{aligned}$$

4.3 The inverse of a q-Laguerre–Hahn form

Let u be a regular form and $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ its corresponding MOPS satisfying (1.1). Let $\{P_n^{(1)}\}_{n\geq 0}$ be its associated sequence of the first kind fulfilling (4.6) and orthogonal with respect to the regular form $u^{(1)}$. The inverse form of u satisfies [20, equation (5.27)]

$$x^2u^{-1}=-\gamma_1u^{(1)}. \tag{4.12}$$

The following results can be found in [2]

$$u^{-1}=\delta-\left(u^{-1}\right)_1\delta'-\gamma_1x^{-2}u^{(1)}. \tag{4.13}$$

In general, the form u^{-1} given by (4.13) is regular if and only if $\Delta_n\neq 0$, $n\geq 0$, with

$$\Delta_n=\langle u^{(1)},\left(P_n^{(1)}\right)^2\rangle\left\{\gamma_1+\sum_{\nu=0}^{n}\frac{\left(\gamma_1P_{\nu-1}^{(2)}(0)-(u^{-1})_1P_\nu^{(1)}(0)\right)^2}{\langle u^{(1)},(P_\nu^{(1)})^2\rangle}\right\},\qquad n\geq 0,$$

where $\{P_n^{(2)}\}_{n\geq 0}$ is the associated sequence of $\{P_n^{(1)}\}_{n\geq 0}$. In this case, the orthogonal sequence $\{P_n^{(-)}\}_{n\geq 0}$ relative to u^{-1} is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_0^{(-)}(x)=1,\qquad P_1^{(-)}(x)=P_1^{(1)}(x)+b_0,\\
&P_{n+2}^{(-)}(x)=P_{n+2}^{(1)}(x)+b_{n+1}P_{n+1}^{(1)}(x)+a_nP_n^{(1)}(x),\qquad n\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&b_0=\beta_1-\left(u^{-1}\right)_1,\\
&b_{n+1}=\beta_{n+2}-\frac{\left((u^{-1})_1P_n^{(1)}(0)-\gamma_1P_{n-1}^{(2)}(0)\right)\left((u^{-1})_1P_{n+1}^{(1)}(0)-\gamma_1P_n^{(2)}(0)\right)}{\Delta_n},\qquad n\geq 0,\\
&a_n=\frac{\Delta_{n+1}}{\Delta_n},\qquad n\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, the sequence $\{P_n^{(-)}\}_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies the three-term recurrence relation

$$P_0^{(-)}(x)=1,\qquad P_1^{(-)}(x)=x-\beta_0^{(-)},$$

$$P^{(-)}_{n+2}(x) = \big(x-\beta^{(-)}_{n+1}\big)P^{(-)}_{n+1}(x) - \gamma^{(-)}_{n+1}P^{(-)}_{n}(x), \qquad n\geq 0,$$

with

$$\beta^{(-)}_0 = \big(u^{-1}\big)_1, \qquad \beta^{(-)}_{n+1} = \beta_{n+2}+b_n-b_{n+1}, \qquad n\geq 0,$$
$$\gamma^{(-)}_1 = -\Delta_0, \qquad \gamma^{(-)}_2 = \gamma_1\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_0^2}, \qquad \gamma^{(-)}_{n+3} = \frac{\Delta_{n+2}\Delta_n}{\Delta^2_{n+1}}\gamma_{n+2}, \qquad n\geq 0.$$

In particular, when $\gamma_1 > 0$ and $u^{(1)}$ is positive definite, then u^{-1} is regular. When $u^{(1)}$ is symmetrical, then u^{-1} is a symmetrical regular form and we have

$$a_{2n} = \frac{\gamma_1\Lambda_n+1}{\gamma_1\Lambda_{n-1}+1}\gamma_{2n+2}, \qquad a_{2n+1} = \gamma_{2n+3}, \qquad n\geq 0, \tag{4.14}$$
$$\gamma^{(-)}_1 = -\gamma_1, \qquad \gamma^{(-)}_{2n+2} = a_{2n}, \qquad \gamma^{(-)}_{2n+3} = \frac{\gamma_{2n+2}\gamma_{2n+3}}{a_{2n}}, \qquad n\geq 0, \tag{4.15}$$

with

$$\Lambda_{-1} = 0, \qquad \Lambda_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n}\left(\prod_{k=0}^{\nu}\frac{\gamma_{2k+1}}{\gamma_{2k+2}}\right), \quad n\geq 0, \qquad \gamma_0 = 1. \tag{4.16}$$

Proposition 11. *If u is a q-Laguerre–Hahn form of class s, then, when u^{-1} is regular, u^{-1} is a q-Laguerre–Hahn form of class at most $s+2$.*

Proof. Let u be a q-Laguerre–Hahn form of class s satisfying (1.17). It is seen in Proposition 10 that $u^{(1)}$ is also a q-Laguerre–Hahn form of class s satisfying the q-difference equation (4.10) with polynomials $\Phi^{(1)}$, $\Psi^{(1)}$, $B^{(1)}$ respecting (4.9) and (4.11).

Let us suppose u^{-1} is regular that is to say $\Delta_n \neq 0$, $n\geq 0$. Multiplying (4.10) by $(-\gamma_1)$ and on account of (4.12) and (1.7), the q-difference equation (4.10) becomes

$$H_q\big(x^2\Phi^{(1)}(x)u^{-1}\big) + x^2\Psi^{(1)}(x)u^{-1} - q^{-2}\gamma_1^{-1}B^{(1)}\big(x^{-1}\big(x^2u^{-1}\big)\big(x^2h_qu^{-1}\big)\big) = 0.$$

Consequently, the form u^{-1} satisfies the following q-difference equation

$$H_q\big(\Phi^{(-)}u^{-1}\big) + \Psi^{(-)}u^{-1} + B^{(-)}\big(x^{-1}u^{-1}h_qu^{-1}\big) = 0, \tag{4.17}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
K\Phi^{(-)}(x) &= x^2\big\{\Phi^{(1)}(x) + (1-q)\gamma_1^{-1}x(qx-\beta_0)(h_qB^{(1)})(x)\big\},\\
K\Psi^{(-)}(x) &= x\Big\{\big(q^{-1}+1\big)\big(\big(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi^{(1)}\big)(x) - q^{-1}\Phi^{(1)}(x)\big) - q^{-3}x(H_{q^{-1}}\Phi^{(1)})(x)\\
&\quad + \gamma_1^{-1}x\big(\big(2q^{-1}+q^{-2}-q^{-3}\big)x - \big(1+2q^{-2}-q^{-3}\big)\beta_0\big)B^{(1)}(x)\\
&\quad - \big(q^{-2}-1\big)\gamma_1^{-1}x(qx-\beta_0)\big(h_qB^{(1)}\big)(x)\\
&\quad - q^{-4}x^2(1-q)\gamma_1^{-1}(qx-\beta_0)\big(H_qB^{(1)}\big)(x) - xC^{(1)}(x)\Big\}, \qquad (4.18)\\
KB^{(-)}(x) &= -\gamma_1^{-1}q^{-2}x^4B^{(1)}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

■

Example 3. Let $\mathcal{Y}(b,q^2)$ be the form of Brenke type which is symmetrical q-semiclassical of class one such that [14, equation (3.22), $q \leftarrow q^2$]

$$H_q\big(x\mathcal{Y}\big(b,q^2\big)\big) - (b(q-1))^{-1}\big(q^{-2}x^2+b-1\big)\mathcal{Y}\big(b,q^2\big) = 0 \tag{4.19}$$

for $q\in\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}$, $b\neq 0$, $b\neq q$, $b\neq q^{-2n}$, $n\geq 0$ and its MOPS $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ satisfying (1.1) with [7]

$$\beta_n = 0,$$

$$\gamma_{2n+1} = q^{2n+2}\big(1 - bq^{2n}\big), \qquad \gamma_{2n+2} = bq^{2n+2}\big(1 - q^{2n+2}\big), \qquad n \geq 0. \tag{4.20}$$

Denoting $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}(b, q^2)$ its associated form and $\mathcal{Y}^{-1}(b, q^2)$ its inverse one. Taking into account (4.19) we have

$$\Phi(x) = x, \qquad \Psi(x) = -(b(q-1))^{-1}\big(q^{-2}x^2 + b - 1\big), \qquad B(x) = 0. \tag{4.21}$$

Also, by virtue of (3.2) and (4.21) we get

$$C(x) = (b(q-1))^{-1}q^{-1}x^2 + q(q-1)^{-1}\big(1 - b^{-1}\big) - 1, \qquad D(x) = (bq(q-1))^{-1}x. \tag{4.22}$$

According to Proposition 10 the form $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}(b, q^2)$ is q-Laguerre–Hahn of class one satisfying the q-difference equation (4.10) and its formal Stieltjes function satisfies the q-Riccati equation (4.8) where on account of (4.20)–(4.22) we obtain for (4.9), (4.11)

$$\begin{aligned}
&K\Phi^{(1)}(x) = b^{-1}x,\\
&K\Psi^{(1)}(x) = -q^{-2}(b(q-1))^{-1}x^2 + q(q-1)^{-1}\big(1 - b^{-1}\big) - (qb)^{-1} - 1,\\
&KB^{(1)}(x) = \big(b^{-1} - 1\big)q(q-1)^{-1}x,\\
&KC^{(1)}(x) = q^{-2}(b(q-1))^{-1}x^2 + 1 - q(q-1)^{-1}\big(1 - b^{-1}\big),\\
&KD^{(1)}(x) = q^{-2}(b(q-1))^{-1}x.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

On the one hand, $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}(b, q^2)$ is a symmetrical regular form, then $\mathcal{Y}^{-1}(b, q^2)$ is also a symmetrical regular form and we have for (4.14)–(4.16) according to (4.20)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Lambda_{-1} = 0, \qquad \Lambda_0 = \frac{b^{-1} - 1}{1 - q^2}, \qquad \Lambda_n = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n+1} b^{-\nu}\frac{(b; q^2)_\nu}{(q^2; q^2)_\nu}, \qquad n \geq 1,\\
&\gamma_1^{(-)} = q^2(b - 1), \qquad \gamma_{2n+2}^{(-)} = bq^{2n+2}\big(1 - q^{2n+2}\big)\frac{1 + q^2(1-b)\Lambda_n}{1 + q^2(1-b)\Lambda_{n-1}}, \qquad n \geq 0,\\
&\gamma_{2n+3}^{(-)} = q^{2n+4}(1 - bq^{2n+2})\frac{1 + q^2(1-b)\Lambda_{n-1}}{1 + q^2(1-b)\Lambda_n}, \qquad n \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

with [7]

$$(a; q)_0 = 1, \qquad (a; q)_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n}\big(1 - aq^{k-1}\big), \qquad n \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, according to Proposition 11, (4.18) and (4.23), the inverse form $\mathcal{Y}^{-1}(b, q^2)$ is symmetrical q-Laguerre–Hahn satisfying the q-difference equation (4.17) where

$$\begin{aligned}
&K\Phi^{(-)}(x) = b^{-1}x^3\big(1 - qx^2\big),\\
&K\Psi^{(-)}(x) = b^{-1}(q-1)^{-1}x^2\big(b - q - q^{-3}(q-1) + \big(-2q^{-4} + 2q^{-3} + q^{-2} - q^{-1} + q\big)x^2\big),\\
&KB^{(-)}(x) = -b^{-1}q^{-3}(q-1)^{-1}x^5.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, according to (2.17) it is possible to simplify by x one time uniquely. Consequently, by virtue of (2.16) the inverse form $\mathcal{Y}^{-1}(b, q^2)$ is q-Laguerre–Hahn of class two fulfilling the q-difference equation

$$\begin{aligned}
&H_q\big(x^2\big(x^2 - q^{-1}\big)\mathcal{Y}^{-1}\big(b, q^2\big)\big) - q^{-1}x\big\{1 + q(q-1)^{-1}\big(b - q - q^{-3}(q-1)\big)\\
&\qquad + \big(q(q-1)^{-1}\big(-2q^{-4} + 2q^{-3} + q^{-2} - q^{-1} + q\big) - q\big)x^2\big\}\mathcal{Y}^{-1}\big(b, q^2\big)\\
&\qquad + q^{-3}(q-1)^{-1}x^4\big(x^{-1}\mathcal{Y}^{-1}\big(b, q^2\big)h_q\mathcal{Y}^{-1}\big(b, q^2\big)\big) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to the referees for the constructive and valuable comments and recommendations and for making us pay attention to a certain references.

References

[1] Alaya J., Maroni P., Symmetric Laguerre–Hahn forms of class $s = 1$, *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.* **2** (1996), 301–320.

[2] Alaya J., Maroni P., Some semi-classical and Laguerre–Hahn forms defined by pseudo-functions, *Methods Appl. Anal.* **3** (1996), 12–30.

[3] Álvarez-Nodarse R., Medem J.C., q-classical polynomials and the q-Askey and Nikiforov–Uvarov tableaux, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **135** (2001), 197–223.

[4] Bangerezako G., The fourth order difference equation for the Laguerre–Hahn polynomials orthogonal on special non-uniform lattices, *Ramanujan J.* **5** (2001), 167–181.

[5] Bangerezako G., An introduction to q-difference equations, Bujumbura, 2008.

[6] Bouakkaz H., Maroni P., Description des polynômes de Laguerre–Hahn de classe zéro, in Orthogonal Polynomials and Their Applications (Erice, 1990), *IMACS Ann. Comput. Appl. Math.*, Vol. 9, Baltzer, Basel, 1991, 189–194.

[7] Chihara T.S., An introduction to orthogonal polynomials, *Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 13, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York – London – Paris, 1978.

[8] Dini J., Sur les formes linéaires et polynômes oerthogonaux de Laguerre–Hahn, Thèse de Doctorat, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris VI, 1988.

[9] Dini J., Maroni P., Ronveaux A., Sur une perturbation de la récurrence vérifiée par une suite de polynômes orthogonaux, *Portugal. Math.* **46** (1989), 269–282.

[10] Dzoumba J., Sur les polynômes de Laguerre–Hahn, Thèse de 3 ème cycle, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris VI, 1985.

[11] Foupouagnigni M., Ronveaux A., Koepf W., Fourth order q-difference equation for the first associated of the q-classical orthogonal polynomials, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **101** (1999), 231–236.

[12] Foupouagnigni M., Ronveaux A., Difference equation for the co-recursive rth associated orthogonal polynomials of the D_q-Laguerre–Hahn class, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **153** (2003), 213–223.

[13] Foupouagnigni M., Marcellán F., Characterization of the D_ω-Laguerre–Hahn functionals, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **8** (2002), 689–717.

[14] Ghressi A., Khériji L., The symmetrical H_q-semiclassical orthogonal polynomials of class one, *SIGMA* **5** (2009), 076, 22 pages, arXiv:0907.3851.

[15] Guerfi M., Les polynômes de Laguerre–Hahn affines discrets, Thèse de troisième cycle, Univ. P. et M. Curie, Paris, 1988.

[16] Khériji L., Maroni P., The H_q-classical orthogonal polynomials, *Acta. Appl. Math.* **71** (2002), 49–115.

[17] Khériji L., An introduction to the H_q-semiclassical orthogonal polynomials, *Methods Appl. Anal.* **10** (2003), 387–411.

[18] Magnus A., Riccati acceleration of Jacobi continued fractions and Laguerre–Hahn orthogonal polynomials, in Padé Approximation and its Applications (Bad Honnef, 1983), *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 1071, Springer, Berlin, 1984, 213–230.

[19] Marcellán F., Salto M., Discrete semiclassical orthogonal polynomials, *J. Difference. Equ. Appl.* **4** (1998), 463–496.

[20] Maroni P., Une théorie algébrique des polynômes orthogonaux. Application aux polynômes orthogonaux semi-classique, in Orthogonal Polynomials and their Applications (Erice, 1990), *IMACS Ann. Comput. Appl. Math.*, Vol. 9, Baltzer, Basel, 1991, 95–130.

[21] Medem J.C., Álvarez-Nodarse R., Marcellán F., On the q-polynomials: a distributional study, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **135** (2001), 157–196.